



Einstein metrics on conformal products

Andrei Moroianu^{1,2} · Mihaela Pilca³

Received: 20 November 2023 / Accepted: 15 February 2024 / Published online: 27 March 2024
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2024

Abstract

We show that under some natural geometric assumption, Einstein metrics on conformal products of two compact conformal manifolds are warped product metrics.

Keywords Conformal product · Weyl structure · Einstein metric

Mathematics Subject Classification 53C18

1 Introduction

Given two Riemannian manifolds (M_1, g_1) , (M_2, g_2) , the product $M_1 \times M_2$ carries a natural metric $g = g_1 + g_2$ (the Riemannian product metric) whose Levi–Civita connection has reducible holonomy. Conversely, the local de Rham theorem states that every Riemannian manifold (M, g) whose Levi–Civita connection has reducible holonomy, is locally isometric to a Riemannian product.

However, things are more complicated in the category of conformal manifolds. The notion of product is no longer canonically defined, and there is no distinguished connection playing the role of the Levi–Civita connection as in Riemannian geometry. Recall that a conformal class on a smooth manifold M is an equivalence class c of Riemannian metrics for the equivalence relation defined by

$$g \sim g' \iff \exists f \in C^\infty(M), \quad g' = e^{2f} g.$$

By the very definition, every Riemannian metric g determines a conformal class denoted $[g]$. A linear connection ∇ on (M, c) is called conformal if $\nabla g = -2\theta^g \otimes g$ for every Riemannian metric $g \in c$, where θ^g is a 1-form called the Lee form of ∇ with respect to

✉ Andrei Moroianu
andrei.moroianu@math.cnrs.fr

Mihaela Pilca
mihaela.pilca@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

¹ CNRS, Laboratoire de mathématiques d’Orsay, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France

² Institute of Mathematics “Simion Stoilow” of the Romanian Academy, 21 Calea Grivitei, 010702 Bucharest, Romania

³ Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, Universitätsstr. 31, 93040 Regensburg, Germany

g . This 1-form transforms according to the rule $\theta^{g'} = \theta^g - df$ for every other conformally equivalent metric $g' = e^{2f}g$.

In the conformal setting one has to replace the Levi–Civita connection by the set of *torsion-free* conformal connections, called Weyl connections. This set is an affine space modeled on the vector space of real 1-forms. A Weyl connection is called closed (resp. exact) if its Lee form with respect to each metric in the conformal class is closed (resp. exact). From the above transformation rule it readily follows that a Weyl connection is closed (resp. exact) if and only if it is locally (resp. globally) the Levi–Civita connection of a metric in the given conformal class.

Unlike the Riemannian case, given two conformal manifolds $(M_1, c_1), (M_2, c_2)$, the product $M_1 \times M_2$ is no longer endowed with a natural “product” conformal structure, but rather with a set of conformal structures obtained by choosing Riemannian metrics $g_i \in c_i$ and functions $f_i \in C^\infty(M_1 \times M_2)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and defining $c = [e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2]$. These conformal classes are called conformal product structures. Each conformal product structure carries a unique compatible Weyl connection whose holonomy preserves the decomposition $TM = TM_1 \oplus TM_2$ and conversely, every conformal class carrying a Weyl connection with reducible holonomy is locally a conformal product structure [3, Theorem 4.3].

The aim of this paper is to study conformal product structures on compact manifolds $M = M_1 \times M_2$ containing an Einstein metric. Since every conformal class on a compact surface contains Einstein metrics, we will implicitly assume throughout the text that $\dim(M) \geq 3$. It turns out that this problem can be understood as part of a long-term classification project for compact Riemannian manifolds (M, g) with special holonomy, carrying a Weyl connection ∇ (different from the Levi–Civita connection of g), which also has special holonomy.

Some parts of this project have already been carried out recently. Indeed, when ∇ is exact, this reduces to the study of conformal classes containing two non-homothetic Riemannian metrics with special holonomy, and was solved in [9] and [11]. The case where ∇ is closed but non-exact, was solved in [2]. It is thus natural to consider the remaining case, where ∇ is non-closed.

Using the Merkulov–Schwachhöfer classification [10] of holonomy groups of torsion-free connections applied to the special case of Weyl structures, we obtain that if the dimension of M is different from 4, then a non-closed Weyl connection ∇ has special holonomy if and only if it is reducible (whence locally the adapted Weyl connection of a conformal product). Moreover, according to the Berger–Simons holonomy theorem, if the Levi–Civita connection of g has special holonomy, then g is either reducible, or Kähler, or Einstein. This last case is thus contained in the problem mentioned above (but of course the inclusion is strict, since not every Einstein metric has special holonomy).

It turns out that this problem is too hard in full generality. In order to attack it, we make a simplification, namely we assume that the restriction to one of the factors of the conformal product of the Lee form of the reducible Weyl structure ∇ with respect to g , is ∇ -parallel in the direction of the second factor. Equivalently, we are looking for Einstein metrics of the form $e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2$ on $M_1 \times M_2$, where f_1 only depends on M_2 and f_2 is any function on $M_1 \times M_2$. Such metrics generalize the so-called doubly warped metrics, which have the same expression $e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2$, except that f_1 is a function on M_2 and f_2 is a function on M_1 . Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Let (M_1, c_1) and (M_2, c_2) be two compact conformal manifolds such that $\dim(M_1 \times M_2) \geq 3$ and let c be a conformal product structure on $M_1 \times M_2$, with adapted Weyl connection ∇ . Assume that c contains an Einstein metric g , such that the restriction to TM_2 of the Lee form of ∇ with respect to g is ∇ -parallel in the direction of TM_1 . Then there*

exist metrics $h_i \in c_i$ such that $c = [h_1 + h_2]$, i.e. the Einstein metric g is conformal to a product metric.

By Kühnel and Rademacher [8, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.4], this can only happen if g is a warped product metric. A complete classification of warped product Einstein metrics on compact manifolds is not yet available, except when the base of the warped product is one-dimensional.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Weyl connections

A *Weyl connection* on a conformal manifold (M, c) is a torsion-free linear connection ∇ which preserves the conformal class c in the sense that for each metric $g \in c$, there exists a unique 1-form $\theta^g \in \Omega^1(M)$, called the *Lee form* of D with respect to g , such that

$$\nabla g = -2\theta^g \otimes g. \quad (1)$$

The Weyl connection ∇ is then related to the Levi–Civita covariant derivative ∇^g by the well-known formula

$$\nabla_X = \nabla_X^g + \theta^g(X)\text{Id} + \theta^g \wedge X, \quad \forall X \in TM, \quad (2)$$

where $\theta^g \wedge X$ is the skew-symmetric endomorphism of TM defined by

$$(\theta^g \wedge X)(Y) := \theta^g(Y)X - g(X, Y)(\theta^g)^\sharp.$$

A Weyl connection D is called *closed* if it is locally the Levi–Civita connection of a (local) metric in c and is called *exact* if it is the Levi–Civita connection of a globally defined metric in c . Equivalently, D is closed (resp. exact) if its Lee form with respect to one (and hence to any) metric in c is closed (resp. exact).

2.2 Differential operators on products

Let $M = M_1 \times M_2$ be a product manifold and let $\pi_i : M \rightarrow M_i$ denote the standard projections for $i = 1, 2$. We denote by n_1, n_2 the dimensions of M_1, M_2 and by $n := n_1 + n_2$ the dimension of M .

For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, the bundle of k -forms of M splits into direct sums

$$\Lambda^k M = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \pi_1^*(\Lambda^p M_1) \otimes \pi_2^*(\Lambda^q M_2) =: \Lambda^{p,q} M,$$

where of course the notation $\Lambda^{p,q} M$ is specific to this product setting, and should not be confused with the Dolbeault decomposition on complex manifolds. The exterior differential on M maps $C^\infty(\Lambda^{p,q} M)$ onto $C^\infty(\Lambda^{p+1,q} M \oplus \Lambda^{p,q+1} M)$. The projections on the two factors of this direct sum are first-order differential operators denoted by d_1 and d_2 which satisfy the relations:

$$d = d_1 + d_2, \quad d_1^2 = d_2^2 = d_1 d_2 + d_2 d_1 = 0. \quad (3)$$

Assume now that g_1, g_2 are Riemannian metrics on M_1, M_2 . The formal adjoints of d_i with respect to the Riemannian metric $g_1 + g_2$ on M are denoted by δ_i . If $\{e_\alpha\}_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n_1}$ denotes a

local orthonormal basis of TM_1 , inducing a local frame of the distribution $\pi_1^*(TM_1) \subset TM$, then

$$d_1 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_1} e_\alpha \wedge \nabla_{e_\alpha}^{g_1+g_2}, \quad \delta_1 = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_1} e_\alpha \lrcorner \nabla_{e_\alpha}^{g_1+g_2}. \quad (4)$$

These operators are clearly conjugate with the corresponding operators on the factors, in the sense that if ω is an exterior form on M_1 , then

$$d_1(\pi_1^*\omega) = \pi_1^*(d^{M_1}\omega), \quad \delta_1(\pi_1^*\omega) = \pi_1^*(\delta^{g_1}\omega), \quad (d_1\delta_1 + \delta_1d_1)(\pi_1^*\omega) = \pi_1^*(\Delta^{g_1}\omega).$$

We denote by $\Delta_1 := d_1\delta_1 + \delta_1d_1$.

Lemma 2.1 *If a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfies $d_1d_2f = 0$, then f is a sum of two functions, each of them depending only on one of the factors, i.e. there exist functions $a_i \in C^\infty(M_i)$ such that $f = a_1 + a_2$.*

Proof Let $X_1 \in C^\infty(M_1)$ be any vector field. Clearly $X_1 \lrcorner (d_2f) = 0$ and by the Cartan formula together with (3) we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_1}(d_2f) = d(X_1 \lrcorner (d_2f)) + X_1 \lrcorner (dd_2f) = X_1 \lrcorner (d_1d_2f) = 0.$$

This shows that the 1-form d_2f is basic with respect to the projection $\pi_2 : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M_2$, so there exists a 1-form $\omega_2 \in \Omega^1(M_2)$ such that $d_2f = \pi_2^*\omega_2$.

For every $x_1 \in M_1$ we denote by i_{x_1} the inclusion $M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$, given by $x_2 \mapsto (x_1, x_2)$. Then $\pi_2 \circ i_{x_1} = \text{id}_{M_2}$, whence $\omega_2 = i_{x_1}^*\pi_2^*\omega_2 = i_{x_1}^*d_2f = d^{M_2}(i_{x_1}^*f)$. This shows that for every $x_1 \in M_1$, the function $i_{x_1}^*f \in C^\infty(M_2)$ is a primitive of ω_2 . In particular $\omega_2 = d^{M_2}a_2$ is exact on M_2 , and by connectedness, for every $x_1 \in M_1$, there exists a constant $a_1(x_1)$ such that $i_{x_1}^*f = a_1(x_1) + a_2$. In other words $f(x_1, x_2) = a_1(x_1) + a_2(x_2)$ for every $(x_1, x_2) \in M$, and the function a_1 is smooth on M_1 since f is smooth on M . $\square$

2.3 Conformal product structures

Definition 2.2 Consider two conformal manifolds (M_1, c_1) and (M_2, c_2) . A conformal product structure on $M := M_1 \times M_2$ is a conformal class c such that the two canonical projections $\pi_i : (M, c) \rightarrow (M_i, c_i)$ are orthogonal conformal submersions.

Equivalently, for every $x := (x_1, x_2) \in M$ and for every Riemannian metrics $g_i \in c_i$ and $g \in c$, there exist real numbers $f_1(x), f_2(x)$ such that for all tangent vectors $X_i \in T_x M_i \subset T_x M$ one has $g(X_1, X_2) = 0$ and $g(X_i, X_i) = e^{2f_i(x)}g_i(X_i, X_i)$. Consequently, for every choice of Riemannian metrics g_1 and g_2 in the conformal classes c_1 and c_2 , every conformal product structure can be defined by two functions f_1 and f_2 on M by the formula $c := [g]$, where $g := e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2$. Clearly, the conformal class c only depends on the difference $f_1 - f_2$. This motivates the following definition:

Definition 2.3 Let M_1 and M_2 be two manifolds. A Riemannian metric g on $M_1 \times M_2$ of the form $g = e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are functions on $M_1 \times M_2$ and g_1, g_2 are Riemannian metrics on M_1 , resp. M_2 , is called a *conformal product metric*.

For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the vector fields on M_i will be denoted by the index i , e.g. X_i, Y_i, Z_i . Each vector field X_i on M_i naturally induces a vector field on M , denoted by $\tilde{X}_i$, so we have the inclusion $C^\infty(TM_i) \subset C^\infty(T(M_1 \times M_2))$. Let us remark that the Lie bracket between vector

fields arising from the different factors M_1 and M_2 vanishes, e.g. $[\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_2] = 0$. In order to keep the notation as simple as possible, we will identify from now on each vector field X_i on M_i with the corresponding vector field $\widetilde{X}_i$ on M and in the sequel it will be clear from the context whether the vector field is considered on M or on one of its factors.

Lemma 2.4 *The Levi-Civita connection ∇^g of a conformal product metric $g = e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2$ is given by the following formulas, for all vector fields $X_i, Y_i \in C^\infty(TM_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$:*

$$\nabla_{X_1}^g Y_1 = \nabla_{X_1}^{g_1} Y_1 + X_1(f_1)Y_1 + Y_1(f_1)X_1 - g(X_1, Y_1)df_1^{\#g}, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla_{X_2}^g Y_2 = \nabla_{X_2}^{g_2} Y_2 + X_2(f_2)Y_2 + Y_2(f_2)X_2 - g(X_2, Y_2)df_2^{\#g}, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla_{X_1}^g X_2 = \nabla_{X_2}^g X_1 = X_1(f_2)X_2 + X_2(f_1)X_1. \quad (7)$$

In particular, from (5) it follows that

$$g(\nabla_{X_1}^g Y_1, X_2) = -g(X_1, Y_1)X_2(f_1). \quad (8)$$

Proof We consider vector fields $X_1, Y_1, Z_1 \in C^\infty(TM_1)$ and $X_2, Y_2, Z_2 \in C^\infty(TM_2)$ and we compute using the Koszul formula:

$$2g(\nabla_{X_1}^g Y_1, Z_2) = -Z_2(g(X_1, Y_1)) = -Z_2(e^{2f_1}g_1(X_1, Y_1)) = -2df_1(Z_2)g(X_1, Y_1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_{X_1}^g Y_1, Z_1) &= X_1(g(Y_1, Z_1)) + Y_1(g(X_1, Z_1)) - Z_1(g(X_1, Y_1)) \\ &\quad + g([X_1, Y_1], Z_1) - g([X_1, Z_1], Y_1) - g([Y_1, Z_1], X_1) \\ &= 2g(\nabla_{X_1}^{g_1} Y_1, Z_1) + 2X_1(f_1)g(Y_1, Z_1) \\ &\quad + 2Y_1(f_1)g(X_1, Z_1) - 2Z_1(f_1)g(X_1, Y_1), \end{aligned}$$

which together yield (5). Equation (6) follows then by symmetry, permuting the indexes in (5). We further compute using the Koszul formula:

$$2g(\nabla_{X_1}^g X_2, Y_1) = X_2(g(X_1, Y_1)) = X_2(e^{2f_1}g_1(X_1, Y_1)) = 2X_2(f_1)g(X_1, Y_1),$$

$$2g(\nabla_{X_1}^g X_2, Y_2) = X_1(g(X_2, Y_2)) = X_1(e^{2f_2}g_2(X_2, Y_2)) = 2X_1(f_2)g(X_2, Y_2),$$

which together yield (7). $\square$

For every conformal product structure $c = [e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2]$ on M , there exists a unique Weyl connection ∇ whose holonomy preserves the decomposition $TM = TM_1 \oplus TM_2$. This connection is called *adapted* and its Lee form with respect to $g := e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2$ reads $\theta^g = -d_1f_2 - d_2f_1$ (cf. [3, Sect. 6.1]). Note that the adapted Weyl connection is closed if and only if $d_1d_2(f_1 - f_2) = 0$.

Let us remark that the vector fields tangent to one of the two factors M_1 or M_2 are parallel with respect to the adapted Weyl connection in the direction of the other factor, namely for all $X_i \in C^\infty(TM_i)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_1} X_2 &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \nabla_{X_1}^g X_2 + \theta^g(X_1)X_2 + \theta^g(X_2)X_1 \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} X_1(f_2)X_2 + X_2(f_1)X_1 - X_1(f_2)X_2 - X_2(f_1)X_1 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

2.4 Curvature of conformal product metrics

The purpose of this section is to establish the formulas for the Riemannian curvature tensor and the Ricci curvature of a conformal product metric, which generalize the well-known

O'Neill formulas for warped products. Let g be such a metric given as $g = e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2$ on M . We start by computing the Riemannian curvature tensor of g .

Lemma 2.5 *The Riemannian curvature tensor R^g of the metric $g = e^{2f_1}g_1 + e^{2f_2}g_2$ on M is given by the following formulas, for all vector fields $X_1, Y_1, Z_1 \in C^\infty(TM_1)$ which are ∇^{g_1} -parallel at the point where the computation is done and $X_2, Y_2, Z_2 \in C^\infty(TM_2)$, which are ∇^{g_2} -parallel at the same point:*

$$R^g(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_2) = g(X_1, Z_1)[Y_1(X_2(f_1)) - Y_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1)] \\ - g(Y_1, Z_1)[X_1(X_2(f_1)) - X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1)], \quad (10)$$

$$R^g(X_1, Y_1, Y_1, X_1) = R^{g_1}(X_1, Y_1, Y_1, X_1) + 2X_1(Y_1(f_1))g(X_1, Y_1) + (g(X_1, Y_1))^2|df_1|_g^2 \\ - 2X_1(f_1) \cdot Y_1(f_1)g(X_1, Y_1) - X_1(X_1(f_1))|Y_1|_g^2 - Y_1(Y_1(f_1))|X_1|_g^2 \\ + (X_1(f_1))^2|Y_1|_g^2 + (Y_1(f_1))^2|X_1|_g^2 - |df_1|_g^2|X_1|_g^2|Y_1|_g^2, \quad (11)$$

$$R^g(X_1, X_2, X_2, X_1) = -(X_1(f_2))^2|X_2|_g^2 - X_1(X_1(f_2))|X_2|_g^2 + 2X_1(f_1) \cdot X_1(f_2)|X_2|_g^2 \\ - X_2(X_2(f_1))|X_1|_g^2 + 2X_2(f_1) \cdot X_2(f_2)|X_1|_g^2 - (X_2(f_1))^2|X_1|_g^2 \\ - g(df_1, df_2)|X_1|_g^2|X_2|_g^2. \quad (12)$$

By symmetry, permuting the indexes, we also obtain the analogous formulas to (10) and (11):

$$R^g(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, X_1) = g(X_2, Z_2)[Y_2(X_1(f_2)) - Y_2(f_1) \cdot X_1(f_2)] \\ - g(Y_2, Z_2)[X_2(X_1(f_2)) - X_2(f_1) \cdot X_1(f_2)], \quad (13)$$

$$R^g(X_2, Y_2, Y_2, X_2) = R^{g_2}(X_2, Y_2, Y_2, X_2) + 2X_2(Y_2(f_2))g(X_2, Y_2) + (g(X_2, Y_2))^2|df_2|_g^2 \\ - 2X_2(f_2) \cdot Y_2(f_2)g(X_2, Y_2) - X_2(X_2(f_2))|Y_2|_g^2 - Y_2(Y_2(f_2))|X_2|_g^2 \\ + (X_2(f_2))^2|Y_2|_g^2 + (Y_2(f_2))^2|X_2|_g^2 - |df_2|_g^2|X_2|_g^2|Y_2|_g^2. \quad (14)$$

Proof Since X_1 and Y_1 are ∇^{g_1} -parallel at the point where the computation is done, we have by the definition of the Riemannian curvature tensor:

$$R^g(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_2) = -R^g(X_1, Y_1, X_2, Z_1) = -g(\nabla_{X_1}^g \nabla_{Y_1}^g X_2, Z_1) + g(\nabla_{Y_1}^g \nabla_{X_1}^g X_2, Z_1). \quad (15)$$

The first term on the right-hand side is then computed by applying the formulas obtained for the Levi-Civita connection in Lemma 2.4:

$$g(\nabla_{X_1}^g \nabla_{Y_1}^g X_2, Z_1) \stackrel{(7)}{=} g(\nabla_{X_1}^g (Y_1(f_2)X_2 + X_2(f_1)Y_1), Z_1) \\ = Y_1(f_2)g(\nabla_{X_1}^g X_2, Z_1) + X_1(X_2(f_1))g(Y_1, Z_1) + X_2(f_1)g(\nabla_{X_1}^g Y_1, Z_1) \\ \stackrel{(5),(7)}{=} Y_1(f_2)X_2(f_1)g(X_1, Z_1) + X_1(X_2(f_1))g(Y_1, Z_1) \\ + X_2(f_1)X_1(f_1)g(Y_1, Z_1) \\ + X_2(f_1)Y_1(f_1)g(X_1, Z_1) - X_2(f_1)Z_1(f_1)g(X_1, Y_1).$$

Replacing this formula and the one obtained from it by interchanging the roles of X_1 and Y_1 into (15) we obtain (10). We now show (11) by computing as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^g(X_1, Y_1, Y_1, X_1) &= g(\nabla_{X_1}^g \nabla_{Y_1}^g Y_1, X_1) - g(\nabla_{Y_1}^g \nabla_{X_1}^g Y_1, X_1) \\
 &= X_1(g(\nabla_{Y_1}^g Y_1, X_1)) - g(\nabla_{Y_1}^g Y_1, \nabla_{X_1}^g X_1) - Y_1(g(\nabla_{X_1}^g Y_1, X_1)) \\
 &\quad + g(\nabla_{X_1}^g Y_1, \nabla_{Y_1}^g X_1) \\
 &\stackrel{(5)}{=} X_1(g(\nabla_{Y_1}^{g_1} Y_1, X_1)) + 2X_1(Y_1(f_1)g(X_1, Y_1)) - X_1(|Y_1|_g^2 X_1(f_1)) \\
 &\quad - g(2X_1(f_1)X_1 - |X_1|_g^2 df_1, 2Y_1(f_1)Y_1 - |Y_1|_g^2 df_1) \\
 &\quad - Y_1(g(\nabla_{X_1}^{g_1} Y_1, X_1)) - Y_1(Y_1(f_1)|X_1|_g^2) \\
 &\quad + (X_1(f_1))^2 |Y_1|_g^2 + (Y_1(f_1))^2 |X_1|_g^2 + (g(X_1, Y_1))^2 |df_1|_g^2 \\
 &\quad - 2X_1(f_1) \cdot Y_1(f_1) g(X_1, Y_1) \\
 &= R^{g_1}(X_1, Y_1, Y_1, X_1) \\
 &\quad + 2X_1(Y_1(f_1))g(X_1, Y_1) + 4X_1(f_1) \cdot Y_1(f_1)g(X_1, Y_1) \\
 &\quad - X_1(X_1(f_1)) |Y_1|_g^2 - 2(X_1(f_1))^2 |Y_1|_g^2 \\
 &\quad - 4X_1(f_1) \cdot Y_1(f_1)g(X_1, Y_1) + 2(X_1(f_1))^2 |Y_1|_g^2 \\
 &\quad + 2(Y_1(f_1))^2 |X_1|_g^2 - |df_1|_g^2 |X_1|_g^2 |Y_1|_g^2 \\
 &\quad - Y_1(Y_1(f_1)) |X_1|_g^2 - 2(Y_1(f_1))^2 |X_1|_g^2 \\
 &\quad + (X_1(f_1))^2 |Y_1|_g^2 + (Y_1(f_1))^2 |X_1|_g^2 + (g(X_1, Y_1))^2 |df_1|_g^2 \\
 &\quad - 2X_1(f_1) \cdot Y_1(f_1) g(X_1, Y_1),
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields (11). Similarly, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^g(X_1, Y_2, Y_2, X_1) &= g(\nabla_{X_1}^g \nabla_{Y_2}^g Y_2, X_1) - g(\nabla_{Y_2}^g \nabla_{X_1}^g Y_2, X_1) \\
 &= X_1(g(\nabla_{Y_2}^g Y_2, X_1)) - g(\nabla_{Y_2}^g Y_2, \nabla_{X_1}^g X_1) - Y_2(g(\nabla_{X_1}^g Y_2, X_1)) \\
 &\quad + g(\nabla_{X_1}^g Y_2, \nabla_{Y_2}^g X_1) \\
 &\stackrel{(5),(6)}{=} -X_1(|Y_2|_g^2 X_1(f_2)) + 2|Y_2|_g^2 X_1(f_1) \cdot X_1(f_2) \\
 &\quad + 2|X_1|_g^2 Y_2(f_1) \cdot Y_2(f_2) - |X_1|_g^2 |Y_2|_g^2 g(df_1, df_2) \\
 &\quad - Y_2(|X_1|_g^2 Y_2(f_1)) + (X_1(f_2))^2 |Y_2|_g^2 + (Y_2(f_1))^2 |X_1|_g^2 \\
 &= -(X_1(f_2))^2 |Y_2|_g^2 - X_1(X_1(f_2)) |Y_2|_g^2 - (Y_2(f_1))^2 \\
 &\quad |X_1|_g^2 - Y_2(Y_2(f_1)) |X_1|_g^2 \\
 &\quad + 2X_1(f_1) \cdot X_1(f_2) |Y_2|_g^2 + 2Y_2(f_1) \cdot \\
 &\quad Y_2(f_2) |X_1|_g^2 - g(df_1, df_2) |X_1|_g^2 |Y_2|_g^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields (12). $\square$

Lemma 2.6 *The Ricci curvature tensor Ric^g of a conformal product metric $g = e^{2f_1} g_1 + e^{2f_2} g_2$ on M is given by the following formulas, for each vector fields*

$X_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(TM_1)$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(TM_2)$:

$$\text{Ric}^g(X_1, X_2) = (1 - n_1)X_1(X_2(f_1)) + (1 - n_2)X_2(X_1(f_2)) + (2 - n)X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1), \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}^g(X_1, X_1) &= \text{Ric}^{g_1}(X_1, X_1) + (e^{-2f_2} \Delta_2 f_1 + e^{-2f_1} \Delta_1 f_1) |X_1|_g^2 \\ &\quad + (2 - n_2)g(d_2 f_1, d_2 f_2) |X_1|_g^2 \\ &\quad - [n_2 g(d_1 f_1, d_1 f_2) + n_1 |d_2 f_1|_g^2 - (2 - n_1) |d_1 f_1|_g^2] |X_1|_g^2 \\ &\quad + (2 - n_1)[\text{Hess}^{g_1}(f_1)(X_1, X_1) - (X_1(f_1))^2] \\ &\quad - n_2[\text{Hess}^{g_1}(f_2)(X_1, X_1) + (X_1(f_2))^2 - 2X_1(f_1) \cdot X_1(f_2)], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where for every function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ and vector field $X_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(TM_1) \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(TM)$, we denote by $\text{Hess}^{g_1}(f)(X_1, X_1) := X_1(X_1(f)) - (\nabla_{X_1}^{g_1} X_1)(f)$ the Hessian with respect to g_1 of the restriction of f to the M_1 -leaves of M .

Proof Considering a local g -orthonormal basis of the form $\{e^{-f_1} \alpha_i, e^{-f_2} \beta_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq n_1, 1 \leq j \leq n_2}$, where $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n_1}$ is a local g_1 -orthonormal basis on M_1 and $\{\beta_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n_2}$ is a local g_2 -orthonormal basis on M_2 , we write:

$$\text{Ric}^g(X_1, X_2) = e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \text{R}^g(X_1, \alpha_i, \alpha_i, X_2) + e^{-2f_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \text{R}^g(X_1, \beta_j, \beta_j, X_2). \quad (18)$$

We compute separately the first term on the right-hand side of (18) using the formulas obtained for the Riemannian curvature tensor in Lemma 2.5:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \text{R}^g(X_1, \alpha_i, \alpha_i, X_2) &\stackrel{(10)}{=} e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} g(X_1, \alpha_i) [\alpha_i(X_2(f_1)) - \alpha_i(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1)] \\ &\quad - e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} g(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) [X_1(X_2(f_1)) - X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1)] \\ &= X_1(X_2(f_1)) - X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1) - n_1 X_1(X_2(f_1)) \\ &\quad + n_1 X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1) \\ &= (1 - n_1) [X_1(X_2(f_1)) - X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

The second term in (18) is computed similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2f_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \text{R}^g(X_1, \beta_j, \beta_j, X_2) &= e^{-2f_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \text{R}^g(X_2, \beta_j, \beta_j, X_1) \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} e^{-2f_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} g(X_2, \beta_j) [\beta_j(X_1(f_2)) - \beta_j(f_1) \cdot X_1(f_2)] \\ &\quad - e^{-2f_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} g(\beta_j, \beta_j) [X_2(X_1(f_2)) - X_2(f_1) \cdot X_1(f_2)] \\ &= X_2(X_1(f_2)) - X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1) - n_2 X_2(X_1(f_2)) \\ &\quad + n_2 X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1) \\ &= (1 - n_2) [X_2(X_1(f_2)) - X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing these two formulas in (18) we obtain (16).

Considering the same local orthonormal bases as above, namely a local g_1 -orthonormal basis $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n_1}$ on M_1 and a local g_2 -orthonormal $\{\beta_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n_2}$ on M_2 , we write:

$$\text{Ric}^g(X_1, X_1) = e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \mathbf{R}^g(X_1, \alpha_i, \alpha_i, X_1) + e^{-2f_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathbf{R}^g(X_1, \beta_j, \beta_j, X_1), \quad (19)$$

where the first term on the right-hand side of (19) is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \mathbf{R}^g(X_1, \alpha_i, \alpha_i, X_1) \\ & \stackrel{(11)}{=} \text{Ric}^{g_1}(X_1, X_1) \\ & + e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [2X_1(\alpha_i(f_1))g(X_1, \alpha_i) - 2X_1(f_1) \cdot \alpha_i(f_1)g(X_1, \alpha_i)] \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [X_1(X_1(f_1))|\alpha_i|_g^2 + \alpha_i(\alpha_i(f_1))|X_1|_g^2 - (X_1(f_1))^2|\alpha_i|_g^2 - (\alpha_i(f_1))^2|X_1|_g^2] \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [|df_1|_g^2|X_1|_g^2|\alpha_i|_g^2 - (g(X_1, \alpha_i))^2|df_1|_g^2] \\ & = \text{Ric}^{g_1}(X_1, X_1) + e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [2X_1(\alpha_i(f_1))g(X_1, \alpha_i) - 2X_1(f_1) \cdot \alpha_i(f_1)g(X_1, \alpha_i)] \\ & - e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [X_1(X_1(f_1))|\alpha_i|_g^2 + \alpha_i(\alpha_i(f_1))|X_1|_g^2 - (X_1(f_1))^2|\alpha_i|_g^2 - (\alpha_i(f_1))^2|X_1|_g^2] \\ & - e^{-2f_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [|df_1|_g^2|X_1|_g^2|\alpha_i|_g^2 - (g(X_1, \alpha_i))^2|df_1|_g^2] \\ & = \text{Ric}^{g_1}(X_1, X_1) + (n_1 - 2)(X_1(f_1))^2 - (n_1 - 2)X_1(X_1(f_1)) + e^{-2f_1} \Delta_1 f_1 \cdot |X_1|_g^2 \\ & + (2 - n_1)|d_1 f_1|_g^2|X_1|_g^2 + (1 - n_1)|d_2 f_1|_g^2|X_1|_g^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the second term on the right-hand side of (19) is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2f_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathbf{R}^g(X_1, \beta_j, \beta_j, X_1) \stackrel{(12)}{=} -n_2[(X_1(f_2))^2 + X_1(X_1(f_2)) - 2X_1(f_1) \cdot X_1(f_2)] \\ & + [(2 - n_2)g(d_2 f_1, d_2 f_2) - n_2g(d_1 f_1, d_1 f_2)]|X_1|_g^2 \\ & - [|d_2 f_1|_g^2 + e^{-2f_2} \Delta_2 f_1]|X_1|_g^2. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, replacing the last two formulas in (19), we obtain (17), which finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

By symmetry, permuting the indexes in (17), we obtain the analogous formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}^g(X_2, X_2) &= \text{Ric}^{g_2}(X_2, X_2) + (e^{-2f_1} \Delta_1 f_2 + e^{-2f_2} \Delta_2 f_1) |X_2|_g^2 \\ &\quad + (2 - n_1) g(d_1 f_1, d_1 f_2) |X_2|_g^2 \\ &\quad - [n_1 g(d_1 f_2, d_2 f_1) + n_2 |d_1 f_2|_g^2 - (2 - n_2) |d_2 f_2|_g^2] |X_2|_g^2 \\ &\quad + (2 - n_2) [\text{Hess}^{g_2}(f_2)(X_2, X_2) - (X_2(f_2))^2] \\ &\quad - n_1 [\text{Hess}^{g_2}(f_1)(X_2, X_2) + (X_2(f_1))^2 - 2X_2(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1)]. \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

3 Main result

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us start with the following equivalent characterization of the geometric assumption in Theorem 1.1 about the Lee form of the adapted Weyl connection:

Lemma 3.1 *Let (M_1, c_1) and (M_2, c_2) be two compact conformal manifolds and let c be a conformal product structure on $M_1 \times M_2$, with adapted Weyl connection ∇ . A metric g in the conformal class c has the property that the restriction to TM_2 of the Lee form of ∇ with respect to g is ∇ -parallel in the direction of TM_1 if and only if there exist functions $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfying $d_1 f_1 = 0$ and metrics $g_i \in c_i$, such that $g = e^{2f_1} g_1 + e^{2f_2} g_2$.*

Proof Let g be a metric in c . Then there exist metrics g_i on M_i and functions f_1, f_2 on M , such that $g = e^{2f_1} g_1 + e^{2f_2} g_2$. Since the Lee form of the Weyl connection ∇ with respect to g is given by $\theta^g = -d_1 f_2 - d_2 f_1$, it follows that its restriction to TM_2 is $\theta_2^g = -d_2 f_1$. We compute for all vector fields $X_i \in C^\infty(TM_i)$:

$$(\nabla_{X_1} \theta_2^g)(X_2) = -(\nabla_{X_1} d_2 f_1)(X_2) = -X_1(X_2(f_1)) + d_2 f_1(\nabla_{X_1} X_2) \stackrel{(9)}{=} -d_1 d_2 f_1(X_1, X_2).$$

This equation shows that θ_2^g satisfies $\nabla_{X_1} \theta_2^g = 0$ for all $X_1 \in C^\infty(TM_1)$ if and only if $d_1 d_2 f_1 = 0$. By Lemma 2.1 applied to f_1 , there exist functions $a_i \in C^\infty(M_i)$, such that $f_1 = a_1 + a_2$. If we replace f_1 by a_2 and the metric g_1 by $e^{2a_1} g_1$, then we may assume that $d_1 f_1 = 0$, which finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Let now g be an Einstein metric on $M_1 \times M_2$ satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.1. According to Lemma 3.1, the metric g can be written as $g = e^{2f_1} g_1 + e^{2f_2} g_2$, where f_1 satisfies $d_1 f_1 = 0$. Under these assumptions, the formulas for the Ricci curvature of g become much simpler. First, because the metric g is Einstein, the left-hand side of (16) vanishes. On the other hand, $d_1 f_1 = 0$ implies that the term $X_1(X_2(f_1))$ on the right-hand side of (16) also vanishes. Thus, (16) yields the following equality:

$$(n - 2)X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1) = (n_2 - 1)X_1(X_2(f_2)), \quad (21)$$

for all vector fields $X_i \in C^\infty(TM_i)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be the Einstein constant of g , i.e. $\text{Ric}^g = \lambda g$. Under the assumption that $d_1 f_1 = 0$, Equation (17) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda |X_1|_g^2 &= \text{Ric}^{g_1}(X_1, X_1) + |X_1|_g^2 [e^{-2f_2} \Delta_2 f_1 + (2 - n_2) g(d_2 f_1, d_2 f_2) - n_1 |d_2 f_1|_g^2] \\ &\quad - n_2 (\text{Hess}^{g_1}(f_2)(X_1, X_1) + X_1(f_2)^2), \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

for all vector fields $X_i \in C^\infty(TM_i)$.

The key argument for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following:

Lemma 3.2 *The function f_2 satisfies $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$.*

Proof We introduce the following closed subsets of M :

$$C_1 := \{x \in M \mid (d_1 f_2)_x = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 := \{x \in M \mid (d_2 f_1)_x = 0\}.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 will be split into three cases, according to the possible dimensions of the factors M_1 and M_2 .

Case 1. In this first case we assume that the dimension of each factor is at least 2, i.e. $n_1 \geq 2$ and $n_2 \geq 2$. Then, Equality (21) reads

$$X_1(X_2(f_2)) = \frac{n-2}{n_2-1} X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1), \quad \forall X_i \in C^\infty(TM_i). \quad (23)$$

By definition, $d_2 f_1 = 0$ on C_2 . Hence, Equation (23) implies that $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$ at all points of C_2 . If $M = C_2$ we are done, so we assume for the remaining part of the argument that the open set $M \setminus C_2$ is non-empty. Equation (22) can be equivalently written

$$\text{Hess}^{g_1}(f_2)(X_1, X_1) + (X_1(f_2))^2 = \frac{1}{n_2} \text{Ric}^{g_1}(X_1, X_1) + \varphi |X_1|_{g_1}^2, \quad (24)$$

where we denote by φ the function $\varphi := \frac{1}{n_2} e^{2f_1} (e^{-2f_2} \Delta_2 f_1 + (2 - n_2)(d_2 f_1, d_2 f_2) - n_1 |d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2 - \lambda)$. Differentiating (24) in the direction of X_2 and choosing X_1 to be ∇^{g_1} -parallel at the point where the computation is done, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |X_1|_{g_1}^2 X_2(\varphi) &= 2X_2(X_1(f_2)) \cdot X_1(f_2) + \text{Hess}^{g_1}(X_2(f_2))(X_1, X_1) \\ &\stackrel{(23)}{=} \frac{2(n-2)}{n_2-1} (X_1(f_2))^2 \cdot X_2(f_1) + X_1 \left(\frac{n-2}{n_2-1} X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1) \right) \\ &= \frac{n-2}{n_2-1} [2(X_1(f_2))^2 + \text{Hess}^{g_1}(f_2)(X_1, X_1)] \cdot X_2(f_1). \end{aligned}$$

By tensoriality, this equation holds for all vector fields $X_i \in C^\infty(TM_i)$. Let $x \in M \setminus C_2$ be an arbitrary point. By definition, there exists $X_2 \in C^\infty(TM_2)$ such that $X_2(f_1) \neq 0$ on some neighborhood V_x of x . Restricting the above equality to V_x , we can write

$$2(X_1(f_2))^2 + \text{Hess}^{g_1}(f_2)(X_1, X_1) = |X_1|_{g_1}^2 \varphi_1,$$

where $\varphi_1 := \frac{n_2-1}{n-2} \cdot \frac{X_2(\varphi)}{X_2(f_1)}$, which is well-defined on V_x . Differentiating this last equation again in the direction of $X_2 \in C^\infty(TM_2)$, a similar computation using (23) shows that

$$4(X_1(f_2))^2 + \text{Hess}^{g_1}(f_2)(X_1, X_1) = |X_1|_{g_1}^2 \varphi_2,$$

where $\varphi_2 := \frac{n_2-1}{n-2} \cdot \frac{X_2(\varphi_1)}{X_2(f_1)}$. The difference of the last two identities reads

$$(X_1(f_2))^2 = |X_1|_{g_1}^2 \varphi_3,$$

where $\varphi_3 := \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)$ on V_x . Since this equality holds for all vector fields $X_1 \in C^\infty(TM_1)$, we obtain that $d_1 f_2 \otimes d_1 f_2 = \varphi_3 g_1$ on V_x . Since $n_1 \geq 2$ and V_x is non-empty, it follows that $\varphi_3 = 0$ and thus $d_1 f_2 = 0$ on the open set V_x , thus on the whole $M \setminus C_2$ since x was arbitrary. By (21) it follows that $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$ on $M \setminus C_2$. But we have already noticed that $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$ on C_2 so finally $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$ on M .

Case 2. Assume that $n_2 = 1$ and $n_1 > 1$. Since $X_1(f_1) = 0$ for all $X_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(TM_1)$, Equality (16) yields that

$$X_1(f_2) \cdot X_2(f_1) = 0,$$

for all vector fields $X_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(TM_i)$ which are ∇^{g_i} -parallel at the point where the computation is done. Thus in this case we have $M = C_1 \cup C_2$, which in particular implies that the union of the interiors $\overset{\circ}{C}_1 \cup \overset{\circ}{C}_2$ is a dense subset in M .

We claim that $d_2 d_1 f_2 = 0$ at each point of $\overset{\circ}{C}_1 \cup \overset{\circ}{C}_2$. At points of $\overset{\circ}{C}_1$, this follows directly by differentiating the relation $d_1 f_2 = 0$ which holds on the open set $\overset{\circ}{C}_1$.

On the other hand, since $d_2 f_1|_{\overset{\circ}{C}_2} = 0$ and $d_1 f_1 = 0$ by assumption, it follows that f_1 is locally constant on $\overset{\circ}{C}_2$. Thus, on $\overset{\circ}{C}_2$, Eq. (22) simplifies to:

$$\lambda |X_1|_g^2 = \text{Ric}^{g_1}(X_1, X_1) - (\text{Hess}^{g_1}(f_2)(X_1, X_1) + X_1(f_2)^2). \quad (25)$$

Substituting $X_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ in (20), where t denotes the arc length coordinate on (M_2, g_2) and denoting by f', f'' the derivatives of a function f with respect to t , we obtain on $\overset{\circ}{C}_2$:

$$\lambda e^{2f_2} = e^{2f_2} [e^{-2f_1} \Delta_1 f_2 - e^{-2f_2} f_2'' - |d_1 f_2|_g^2 + e^{-2f_2} (f_2')^2] + f_2'' - (f_2')^2,$$

or, equivalently:

$$\lambda = e^{-2f_1} \Delta_1 f_2 - |d_1 f_2|_g^2 = e^{-2f_1} (\Delta_1 f_2 - |d_1 f_2|_{g_1}^2). \quad (26)$$

We now show that $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$ on $\overset{\circ}{C}_2$, by considering the following subcases:

- If $\overset{\circ}{C}_1 = \emptyset$, then $\overset{\circ}{C}_2$ is dense in M , so (26) is satisfied on M . Evaluating (26) at a point of M where f_2 attains its global maximum yields $\lambda \geq 0$, and at a point where f_2 attains its global minimum we obtain $\lambda \leq 0$. Consequently $\lambda = 0$, whence $\Delta_1 f_2 = |d_1 f_2|_{g_1}^2$ on M . Integrating on each slice $M_1 \times \{x_2\}$ yields $d_1 f_2 = 0$ on M . In particular, also $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$.
- If $\overset{\circ}{C}_2 = \emptyset$, then $\overset{\circ}{C}_1$ is dense in M , so $d_1 f_2 = 0$ on M . In particular, also in this case it follows that $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$.
- If $\overset{\circ}{C}_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\overset{\circ}{C}_2 \neq \emptyset$, then we evaluate Equation (26) at a point from the intersection of the closures of $\overset{\circ}{C}_1$ and $\overset{\circ}{C}_2$, which is not empty (because the union of these closures is M which is connected), yields $\lambda = 0$. Hence, (26) further implies that $\Delta_1 f_2 = |d_1 f_2|_{g_1}^2$ on $\overset{\circ}{C}_2$. Since this equality holds by definition on $\overset{\circ}{C}_1$, it then follows by density that $\Delta_1 f_2 = |d_1 f_2|_{g_1}^2$ on M . We conclude like in case a) that $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$ on M , by integration on the slices $M_1 \times \{x_2\}$.

Case 3. Assume that $n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 > 1$. Equation (21) reads:

$$d_1 d_2 f_2 = d_1 f_2 \wedge d_2 f_1. \quad (27)$$

Hence, $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$ on $C_1 \cup C_2$. We denote by U the open set $U := M \setminus (C_1 \cup C_2)$. If $U = \emptyset$, then the equality $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$ holds on M and we are done. Assume for the rest of the proof that $U \neq \emptyset$. Denoting by t the arc length coordinate on (M_1, g_1) , we remark that, by definition, f_2' does not vanish at any point of U . Equation (17) implies that

$$\lambda e^{2f_1} = e^{2f_2} [e^{-2f_2} \Delta_2 f_1 + (2 - n_2)g(d_2 f_2, d_2 f_1) - |d_2 f_1|_g^2] - n_2(f_2'' + (f_2')^2),$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lambda e^{2f_2} = \Delta_2 f_1 + (2 - n_2)g_2(d_2 f_2, d_2 f_1) - |d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2 - n_2 e^{2f_2-2f_1}(f_2'' + (f_2')^2). \quad (28)$$

Differentiating this equality with respect to t yields:

$$2\lambda f_2' e^{2f_2} = (2 - n_2)g_2(d_2 f_2', d_2 f_1) - n_2 e^{2f_2-2f_1}[2f_2'(f_2'' + (f_2')^2) + f_2^{(3)} + 2f_2' f_2''].$$

From (27) and $d_1 f_1 = 0$, it follows that $d_2 f_2' = f_2' d_2 f_1$, so we have

$$g_2(d_2 f_2', d_2 f_1) = g_2(f_2' d_2 f_1, d_2 f_1) = f_2' |d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2,$$

which replaced in the above equality yields

$$2\lambda f_2' e^{2f_2} = (2 - n_2)f_2' |d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2 - n_2 e^{2f_2-2f_1}[4f_2' f_2'' + 2(f_2')^3 + f_2^{(3)}]. \quad (29)$$

Dividing this equality by f_2' , which does not vanish on U , and then differentiating again with respect to t , we obtain:

$$4\lambda f_2' e^{2f_2} = -n_2 e^{2f_2-2f_1}[12f_2' f_2'' + 4(f_2')^3 + 6f_2^{(3)} + f_2^{(4)}(f_2')^{-1} - (f_2')^{-2} f_2'' f_2^{(3)}],$$

or, equivalently, after dividing by $-n_2 f_2' e^{2f_2-2f_1}$:

$$-\frac{4\lambda e^{2f_1}}{n_2} = 12f_2'' + 4(f_2')^2 + \frac{6f_2^{(3)}}{f_2'} + \frac{f_2^{(4)}}{(f_2')^2} - \frac{f_2'' f_2^{(3)}}{(f_2')^3}. \quad (30)$$

Differentiating this equality once more with respect to t yields:

$$0 = \underbrace{12f_2^{(3)}}_{=:A_1} + \underbrace{8f_2' f_2''}_{=:A_2} + \underbrace{\frac{6f_2^{(4)}}{f_2'} - \frac{6f_2'' f_2^{(3)}}{(f_2')^2}}_{=:A_0} + \underbrace{\frac{f_2^{(5)}}{(f_2')^2} - \frac{2f_2'' f_2^{(4)}}{(f_2')^3} - \frac{(f_2^{(3)})^2 + f_2' f_2^{(4)}}{(f_2')^3} + \frac{3(f_2'')^2 f_2^{(3)}}{(f_2')^4}}_{=:A_{-1}}.$$

We have introduced the above notation A_ℓ , for $\ell \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ motivated by the fact that each A_ℓ is a rational fraction of degree ℓ in the derivatives $f_2^{(k)}$ of f_2 with respect to t . Notice that Equality (27) together with the fact that $d_1 f_1 = 0$ yields

$$d_2 f_2^{(k)} = f_2^{(k)} d_2 f_1, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1. \quad (31)$$

The following general lemma will be applied to the above defined functions A_ℓ , for $\ell \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let f_1 and f_2 be two functions on M , satisfying (31).*

a) *For any homogeneous polynomial P of $s \geq 1$ variables and of degree $p \geq 0$, and for every positive integers $k_1, \dots, k_s$, the following relation holds:*

$$d_2 P(f_2^{(k_1)}, \dots, f_2^{(k_s)}) = p \cdot P(f_2^{(k_1)}, \dots, f_2^{(k_s)}) \cdot d_2 f_1.$$

b) *For any two homogeneous polynomials P and Q of $s \geq 1$ variables and of degree p , respectively q , and for every positive integers $k_1, \dots, k_s$, the following relation holds:*

$$d_2 \left(\frac{P}{Q}(f_2^{(k_1)}, \dots, f_2^{(k_s)}) \right) = (p - q) \cdot \frac{P}{Q}(f_2^{(k_1)}, \dots, f_2^{(k_s)}) \cdot d_2 f_1.$$

Proof (a) Follows directly by (31) applied to each monomial of P .

(b) Follows from (a) applied to P and Q . □

Applying Lemma 3.3 to A_ℓ yields $d_2 A_\ell = \ell A_\ell \cdot d_2 f_1$, for all $\ell \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$. Thus, applying d_2 to the equality $A_1 + A_2 + A_0 + A_{-1} = 0$ implies that $A_1 + 2A_2 - A_{-1} = 0$, since $d_2 f_1$ does not vanish on U . Applying again d_2 to this relation yields $A_1 + 4A_2 + A_{-1} = 0$. Repeating the same argument once again yields $A_1 + 8A_2 - A_{-1} = 0$. This last equality together with the initial equality $A_1 + A_2 + A_0 + A_{-1} = 0$ implies that $A_2 = 0$, i.e. $f_2' f_2'' = 0$, which means that $f_2'' = 0$ on U , because f_2' does not vanish on U . Replacing $f_2'' = 0$ in (30) we obtain the following equality on U :

$$(f_2')^2 = -\frac{\lambda e^{2f_1}}{n_2}, \quad (32)$$

which implies in particular that $\lambda < 0$. Replacing now $f_2'' = 0$ in (29) yields another equality on U :

$$2\lambda e^{2f_2} = (2 - n_2)|d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2 - 2n_2 e^{2f_2-2f_1} (f_2')^2.$$

The last two equalities imply that

$$2\lambda e^{2f_2} = (2 - n_2)|d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2 + 2n_2 e^{2f_2-2f_1} \cdot \frac{\lambda e^{2f_1}}{n_2},$$

so $(2 - n_2)|d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2 = 0$. Since $d_2 f_1 \neq 0$ on U , it follows that $n_2 = 2$. Replacing $f_2'' = 0$ and $n_2 = 2$ in (28) yields

$$\lambda e^{2f_2} = \Delta_2 f_1 - |d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2 - n_2 e^{2f_2-2f_1} (f_2')^2 = \Delta_2 f_1 - |d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2 + \lambda e^{2f_2}$$

and thus $\Delta_2 f_1 = |d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2$ on U .

This shows that the function $\varphi := e^{-2f_2}(\Delta_2 f_1 - |d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2)$ vanishes on U and $\mathring{C}_2$. Furthermore, using (22) we deduce that $\varphi = \lambda$ on $\mathring{C}_1$. In particular $d\varphi = 0$ on $\mathring{C}_1 \cup \mathring{C}_2 \cup U$ which is dense in M , whence φ is constant. Moreover $U \neq \emptyset$ by assumption, so $\varphi = 0$ on M . This shows that the previous relation $\Delta_2 f_1 = |d_2 f_1|_{g_2}^2$ actually holds on M . Like before, integrating over the leafs $\{x_1\} \times M_2$ yields $d_2 f_1 = 0$ on M , so $M = C_2$. Thus $U = \emptyset$, contradicting our assumption. This concludes the proof of Case 3, and thus of Lemma 3.2. $\square$

We can now finish the proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, the Einstein metric g representing the conformal product structure on $M_1 \times M_2$ can be written $g = e^{2f_1} g_1 + e^{2f_2} g_2$ where g_1, g_2 are Riemannian metrics on M_1, M_2 , respectively, and f_1, f_2 are functions on $M_1 \times M_2$ satisfying $d_1 f_1 = 0$ and $d_1 d_2 f_2 = 0$. The first equation shows that in fact $f_1 \in C^\infty(M_2)$, whereas the second equation together with Lemma 2.1 shows that $f_2 = a_1 + a_2$ for some functions $a_1 \in C^\infty(M_1)$ and $a_2 \in C^\infty(M_2)$. Thus the conformal class c can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} c &= [g] = [e^{2f_1} g_1 + e^{2f_2} g_2] = [e^{2f_1} g_1 + e^{2a_1+2a_2} g_2] \\ &= [e^{-2a_1} g_1 + e^{-2f_1+2a_2} g_2] = [h_1 + h_2], \end{aligned}$$

where $h_1 := e^{-2a_1} g_1$ is a metric on M_1 and $h_2 := e^{-2f_1+2a_2} g_2$ is a metric on M_2 . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

As already mentioned in the introduction, the fact that the Einstein metric g on $M_1 \times M_2$ is conformal to the product metric $h_1 + h_2$, implies by [8, Thm. 3.2 and Cor. 3.4] that the conformal factor between g and $h_1 + h_2$ is a function which only depends on M_1 or on M_2 , i.e. g is a warped product metric. However, the complete classification of warped product Einstein metrics on compact manifolds is not yet available [4], except when the base is one-dimensional, cf. [5–7].

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Procope Project No. 57650868 (Germany)/48959TL (France).

Author Contributions The authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

Funding The funding was provided by the Procope Project No. 57650868 (Germany)/48959TL (France).

Data Availability No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Besse, A.L.: Einstein manifolds. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2008)
2. Belgun, F., Flamencourt, B., Moroianu, A.: Weyl structures with special holonomy on compact conformal manifolds. [arXiv:2305.06637](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.06637) (2023)
3. Belgun, F., Moroianu, A.: Weyl-parallel forms, conformal products and Einstein–Weyl manifolds. *Asian J. Math.* **15**, 499–520 (2011)
4. He, C., Petersen, P., Wylie, W.: On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics. *Commun. Anal. Geom.* **20**, 271–311 (2012)
5. Kühnel, W.: Conformal transformations between Einstein spaces. In: Kulkarni, R.S., Pinkall, U. (eds.) *Conformal Geometry. Aspects of Math.*, vol. 12, pp. 105–146 (1988)
6. Kühnel, W., Rademacher, H.-B.: Conformal vector fields on pseudo-Riemannian spaces. *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **7**, 237–250 (1997)
7. Kühnel, W., Rademacher, H.-B.: Einstein spaces with a conformal group. *Res. Math.* **56**, 421–444 (2009)
8. Kühnel, W., Rademacher, H.-B.: Conformally Einstein product spaces. *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **49**, 65–96 (2016)
9. Madani, F., Moroianu, A., Pilca, M.: Conformally related Kähler metrics and the holonomy of lcK manifolds. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **22**(1), 119–149 (2020)
10. Merkulov, S., Schwachhöfer, L.: Classification of irreducible holonomies of torsion-free affine connections. *Ann. Math.* **150**(1), 77–149 (1999)
11. Moroianu, A.: Conformally related Riemannian metrics with non-generic holonomy. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **755**, 279–292 (2019)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.